## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

January 24, 2002 LB 82, 497

phrase this, Senator Dierks. There are some people who never want any changes that they think might adversely affect them, whether or not they ought to be. I believe the change is balanced. In this day and age of easy, simplistic audio and visual recording; to require that someone record that which they ought to want to prove with the certainty of it having been recorded, to require that but to provide them a good faith exception when they're not...when they tried to and it didn't work, so that we're not in any way inhibiting the process, I think is very balanced. I think your committee thought it was very balanced.

SENATOR DIERKS: Okay. I...I just...I need to do a little more looking at it, I think, but...but I'm supporting what you're trying to do. I just had this question about the...about how it might affect, adversely, the people who are doing the interrogating and the recording. And if you think there's nothing there that would be adversely affecting them, why then I don't have a problem either. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Dierks. Senator Beutler, did you wish to speak?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Not on this...not on this amendment to the amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Okay. Thank you. I'm sorry, I took your light off. Senator Bourne.

Thank you...thank you, Mr. President and SENATOR BOURNE: members. I put my light on when Senator Dierks raised a question regarding LB 497, which was the bill that I introduced. First I'll say that I do support Senator Brashear's amendment. It's mostly technical. And then if you have any questions regarding LB 497, I'd be happy to answer them. But basically bill what it is is а that would require electronically...electronic recording questioning of interrogation, when conducted by law enforcement personnel. Senator Brashear has amended it in the committee amendments so